Editorial note

Correction and case update

Captured Sovereignty

Big Tech, Cognitive Sovereignty, and Private Power in the Global Digital Order

Jandislei Antonio Genova · First English digital edition · Version 0.14
Book ISBN 978-65-02-45862-4 Book DOI 10.5281/zenodo.23486041

1. Correction | U.S. consent judgment involving Meta

Chapter 35, p. 155, note 167 · Appendix A, p. 224 · References, p. 247

On August 26, 2026, the same day the parties submitted the proposed settlement cited in the book, the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California approved the settlement between Meta and the participating state attorneys general and entered a consent judgment. On p. 224, “Meta’s proposed agreement of August 2026” should read “Meta’s court-approved settlement of August 2026.” Document 572-1 contains the proposal and its exhibits. Document 3451 records the court’s approval. Compliance with the obligations and amounts actually paid require separate evidence.

Consent judgment, August 26, 2026, MDL No. 3047, Doc. 3451, p. 9, paragraphs C–E

2. Case update | AI-generated summary in Germany

Chapter 35, p. 155, note 165 · References, p. 241

The press release cited in the book described the first-instance judgment in case 26 O 869/26. Bavaria’s official case law database records that, after an appeal was filed (18 U 1744/26 Pre e), the parties settled the dispute. The settlement rendered the first-instance judgment moot.

Bayern.Recht, Munich Regional Court I, case 26 O 869/26, under “Weiterführende Hinweise”

São Paulo, November 23, 2026
Jandislei Antonio Genova